Objective

- Presentation will introduce expanded bushing method to enhance drill stop repairs
- Explain derivation of the process from aerospace hole cold expansion method
  - Used to extend the fatigue life and damage tolerance life of aircraft structures.
- Show by test how the enhanced drill stop method arrests the growth of cracks in test coupons
- Show examples of where the technology has been applied to steel bridges
Background

- Cracking in steel bridges is one of the major causes for concern in bridge maintenance and preservation.
- Temporary repairs are often installed to allow continued operation of bridge:
  - Minimize impact on local commerce/infrastructure.
  - Buy time to effect more permanent repair/structural replacement.
- Depending on effectiveness of repairs could lead to load limits on bridge.
- Total or partial bridge closure can have significant ramifications and impact on local community and commerce.
Typical Cracks in Bridges or Infrastructure

Cracks from welds

Cracks from bolt holes
Typical Fatigue Crack Identified with Dye Penetrant
Stopping Cracks in Bridges/structures

- Many cracks are repaired by cutting out the crack and welding a repair patch in place or adding doublers
  - Can change the stiffness and dynamic response of the member

- Most common method of preventing cracks from growing is by “drill stop” or crack arrest hole (CAH) at the crack tip
  - Objective to “blunt” the crack tip
  - reduce stress concentration at crack tip

Generally used as a temporary repair option
Temporary Repair – Drill Stops

• Drill-stop method often not effective
  • Size of CAH based on material properties can be impractically large
  • Compromised by access or available drill bit
  ➢ Fails to arrest crack growth
  ➢ Miss the crack tip
  ➢ Residual tensile stress at crack tip
  ➢ Cracks reinitiate on other side of drill stop hole
  ➢ Can lead to structural failure and/or bridge closure

Requires repeat inspections/monitoring
Enhancing Drill Stops

• Variety of methods tried
  • Installing interference fit bolts or fasteners
    a) Method relies on effective interference
  • Pre-stressing crack tip
  • Surface treatment methods
    a) Ultrasonic impact
    b) Shot peening around hole
• Pre-stressing hole using novel piezoelectric method
  • Application on bridges not practical
  • No convenient way of verifying hole was correctly expanded

• Methods shown to have little or no effect in retarding crack growth
  • Especially if driving stresses are high or crack is near critical crack length
  • No convenient way to measure effectiveness of temporary repair
• Does not eliminate need for ongoing monitoring/inspection
Enhanced Drill Stop Method

- Derived from over 40 years experience in the aerospace industry, improved drill stop method effectively arrests crack growth
  - “StopCrackEX” is adapted from hole cold expansion and expanded bushing technology developed for aerospace industry
  - Installs high interference fit bushing that simultaneously induces beneficial compressive residual stress around the bushing
  - Shown to arrest further growth of cracks in test coupons
  - Provides a positive indication hole has been treated

![Diagram showing Enhanced Drill Stop Method](image-url)
Enhancing Drill Stops
Derivation from Aerospace Technology

Fatigue Life Improvement of Holes

• Hole cold expansion (cold working) developed by aerospace industry to improve fatigue life and damage tolerance of holes in aircraft structures
  • Induces a zone of residual compressive stress around and through the hole
    a) Extends radially one diameter from hole

• Hole cold expansion proven to be very effective in eliminating rail-end bolt hole cracking in railroad industry
Split Sleeve Cold Expansion Overview

- One-sided process

- Effective in all metals including A36 bridge and railroad steels

- Typically increases fatigue life by a factor of 10:1

- Arrests growth of small cracks present in the hole prior to cold expansion

Generates large, controllable zone of residual stress surrounding the hole
Residual Stress Distribution From Cold Expansion

- Residual Compressive Stress Zone
- 10-15% Tensile Yield Strength
- Approximately Equal to Compressive Yield Strength

- Radial Stress
- Tangential Stress

Tension
- Compression
A36 Steel under Axial Fatigue Loading

Process was used by CALTRANS to extend fatigue life of elevated highway truss joint holes

**Constant Amplitude Fatigue**
Specimen: ZLT Dogbone
Loading: 30 ksi net stress, R=+0.05
Environment: ambient lab air
Increase in Fatigue Life for Cold Expanded Holes—U.S. DOT Rail Fatigue Results

+ All non-cold expanded specimens
○ All cold expanded specimens
1.25 to 1.5-inch diameter holes

Typical rail-end bolt hole fatigue failure
Further Derivative of Cold Expansion Process
ForceMate Expanded Bushing Method

• Clearance fit bushing is expanded radially into hole at high interference fit
  • Locally yields surrounding material and induces a residual compressive stress around bushing
• Lowers the mean stress at the hole and reduces the applied stress amplitude
• Greatly enhances fatigue life of the bushed hole
Typical Fatigue Life Comparison
Shrink Fit Vs ForceMate Bushings

Test Specimen
7075-T651

Load Conditions:
Constant amplitude
10 Hz
R = .05
Beryllium Copper Bushings

- ForceMate Failure
- Shrink Fit Failure
- No Failure

Champoux & Landy
ASTM STP 927
1987
“StopCrackEX” Enhanced Drill Stop Repair

- New method combines effectiveness of hole cold expansion and high interference fit “ForceMate” bushing
  - Induces a beneficial residual compressive stress around the bushing to shield it from the cyclic stresses
- Bushing reinforces hole and reduces applied stress and stress amplitude
  - Effective even if local stresses are high
  - Stainless steel bushing provides positive indication that hole has been enhanced
- Uses a smaller drill stop hole, ½" dia.
  - Typical drill stop 7/8 to 1.0 inch
- Coupon test program and FEA study validates effectiveness in arresting crack growth
Location of Drill Stop Hole

Note: the hole is drilled ahead of the crack tip to ensure it captures the tip to allow the crack to grow into the hole.
StopCrackEX Process
StopCrackEX Process Steps

1. Locate crack tip and drill/ream 0.50” dia. hole 5/16” ahead of tip

2. Place bushing on mandrel and insert into puller

3. Place bushing in hole

4. Pull mandrel through bushing

5. StopCrackEX Bushing installed
StopCrackEX
Viewed Through a Photoelastic Coating on Plate
Residual Compressive Stress Field from X-Ray Diffraction

Compressive Hoop Stress

Compressive Radial Stress
Coupon Test Program and Validating Analysis
Objective of the Analysis

• Prior to conducting coupon test program the coupon was modeled to optimize location of StopCrackEX and the load level
• Evaluate stress state at crack tip under tensile load for
  1. Baseline plate configuration with hole drilled at crack tip to arrest crack growth.
  2. Plate with hole at the crack tip cold expanded using StopCrackEX system
• Three different stress levels were evaluated for these two scenarios
  1. Hole is drilled 1/16 inch in front of the crack tip and the crack is stopped at this location.
  2. Hole is drilled 1/16 inch in front of the crack and the crack is allowed to grow into the hole.
FEA Model Simulation: Compared to Coupon Test Configuration
FEA Model Simulation:
Compared to Coupon Test Configuration

• 2D plane stress models used for analysis
  • Plate width = 3 inch.
  • Hole diameter = 0.5 inch, located 0.603 inch from edge of plate.
  • Notch Dimensions (from coupon drawings):
    • Crack Located 1/16 inch from hole edge, extending from pre-notch.
• Plate material = A36 steel.
  - yield strength = 46.6 ksi.
  - Ultimate strength = 70.1 ksi.
• The StopCrackEx process was simulated
• Tensile load of 10995 lbs (net stress = 20.5 ksi) was applied to the plate after expansion to evaluate the stresses at the crack tip.
Finite Element Comparative Study
Drill Stop Vs StopCrackEX - Simulating Coupon Test
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FEA Summary

• Models were run simulating test case with StopCrackEX repair with the initial crack and the crack extending into the hole bore.
  • Load of 10995 lbs (20.5 ksi net stress) was applied to the test plate with the notch, initial crack and the crack at edge of hole.

• Stress profile for StopCrackEX process shows lower stresses at the potential crack initiation site on the un-cracked side of the hole.
  • Stresses on the un-cracked side of hole for StopCrackEX process were about 20 ksi lower than for Drill Stop process (under tensile load of 10995 lbs).

• Based on the stress profiles, StopCrackEX will provide better fatigue life compared to Drill Stop method.

• The Drill Stop process could have crack initiation at as low as 6.7 ksi per FEA model

• FEA results under load showed good correlation to the test coupons
Fatigue Test Overview

- 7 specimens prepared – tested in 22-kip frame
- Initial starter notch to promote natural propagation of fatigue crack
- Crack initiated and grown to approximately 0.25 inches
  - 25 ksi max gross stress
  - $R = 0.05$, Frequency = 10 Hz

A36 Steel
Minimum yield 36 ksi
Tensile strength 58-80 ksi
Actual yield - 46.6 ksi
Actual tensile strength – 70.1 ksi
Test Setup - Pre-Cracking

• After all initial cracks were grown to approximately 0.25 inches the specimens were retrofitted with 1 of 2 methods
  • Standard 0.5 inch crack arrest hole (CAH)
  • FTI’s StopCrackEX process

• CAH and StopCrackEX Bushing holes were placed in the same location for all samples
  • 0.603 inches from edge
  • 0.060 inches in front of the crack
Crack Arrest Method Testing

• After retrofit specimens were tested to determine the fatigue life of the retrofit
  • Max Net Stress = 20.5 ksi
  • R = 0.05, Frequency = 10 Hz

• Cycle counts measured for two events
  1. Number cycles for crack to reach the hole
  2. Number of cycles required to initiate a crack of approximately 0.150 inches on the side of the hole opposite the crack
### Post Repair Test Results

#### StopCrackEX™ Independent Fatigue Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN</th>
<th>RETROFIT METHOD</th>
<th>CRACK LENGTH (inches)</th>
<th>MAX NET STRESS (ksi)</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>CYCLES TO BREAK HOLE</th>
<th>CYCLES TO BECOME A THROUGH CRACK</th>
<th>CYCLES TO REINITIATE</th>
<th>CRACK LENGTH (inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>StopCrackEX™</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>580,000</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>No Crack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>StopCrackEX™</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>250,200</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>No Crack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CAH</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15,600</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>230,000</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CAH</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5,668</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>440,000</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>StopCrackEX™</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>No Crack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CAH</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4,165</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>StopCrackEX™</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>3,700,000</td>
<td>20,000,000</td>
<td>No Crack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Crack Arrest Hole**

Crack initiated on opposite side of drilled crack arrest hole after continued loading.

**StopCrackEX Repair**

No Crack Re-initiation on other side of hole.
Summary Of Coupon Test Results

- StopCrackEX showed at least 12:1 improvement in crack growth life
- No StopCrackEX coupons cracked on other side of hole
- One Coupon ran to 20,000,000 cycles with no evidence of crack on other side of hole
Post Test Evaluation

• One more of the StopCrackEX coupons that did not fail at 4 million cycles was put back in the test frame and tested at progressively increased load (stress level)
  • 2 ksi increase to 22.5 ksi – ran 2 million cycles
  • 2 ksi increase to 24.5 ksi – ran 2 million cycles
  • 2 ksi increase to 26.5 ksi – ran 381,835 cycles failed

• Results showed that StopCrackEX allowed operations at up to a 20% increase in load factor
Second Coupon Test Program

- One of the more prevalent cracks in bridges are those that run along the heat effected zone associated with welds under flanges, beams or girders
- Standard crack arrest holes are not effective in stopping cracks
- Often cut into and compromise welds
Location of Drill Stop Hole – Tangent to Crack Path

Crack

1/2” Diameter Drill Stop Hole
(tangent to path of crack)

In line with end of visible crack
Second Test Program

- FTI has test program to evaluate effectiveness of StopCrackEX placed adjacent to tip of crack
- Authorities do not want to compromise the weld
- Currently optimizing location of StopCrackEX with respect to crack tip
Results of Test Program with Offset StopCrackEX

- StopCrackEX showed a 300% improvement on life extension compared to CAH.
- Relative position of StopCrackEX adjacent to crack tip being optimized.
- Currently being evaluated on New Jersey Turnpike.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repair Type</th>
<th>Max Load (lbs) After Repair</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>Average Cycles to Reinitiate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAH</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>397,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StopCrackEX</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1,193,333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Imagination Percentage: 300.2
CURRENT AND PENDING BRIDGE APPLICATIONS
New Jersey Manahawkin Bay Bridge

Applied StopCrackEX to several cracks on bridge October 2011
After 15 months NJDOT observed cracks had reached the bushing but were arrested
After 15 months NJDOT observed crack had reached the bushing but was arrested.
New Jersey Turnpike

• Head to head comparison of StopCrackEX against standard CAH on flyover to Lincoln Tunnel in NY
• Cracks running along weldment under flange
• CAH cannot be drilled into weld so need to drill CAH adjacent to crack
• FEA shows StopCrackEX should be effective in retarding crack growth because of induced residual compressive stress
• Application March 2012
NJ Turnpike Authority Trial

6 Cracks Identified for Trial Evaluation:

Conventional 1.0 inch diameter CAH on one end of crack and StopCrackEX on the other.
NJ Turnpike

Carrying out trial repair on one of the cracks

Drilling through weld for 1.0” diameter CAH proved difficult and time consuming (5 times longer)

Pilot drilling hole

Installing StopCrackEX

Reaming Drill Stop Hole
NYDOT Bridge, Marysville

- Installed StopCrack EX on hole that had been RED Flagged
- Repaired hole will be monitored
- Will save considerable time and cost over alternate doubler repair.
NYDOT Miller Rd Bridge Near Albany (I-90 overpass)

- Double ended crack either side of a structural diaphragm
- Crack occurred in previously welded patch repair
- StopCrackEX installed at each end of crack

June 25, 2012
WASDOT – I-5 Bridge over Stillaguamish River, Marysville
January 2013

- 8 locations where StopCrackEX applied
- Some with multiple cracks
- Cracking associated with out-of-plane bending from diaphragm attachment
Missouri DOT Orthotropic Bridge Deck Repair
Missouri DOT Orthotropic Bridge Deck Repair
Missouri DOT

- Evaluation using the expanded bushing method to resize holes in girders on a bridge to facilitate removal of corroded/cracked pin joint plates
- Plug welds removed by core drilling through plate and girder
  - Faster and easier than drilling out weld
- Plates removed
- Hole in girder resized by expanding high interference fit bushing into hole
- New plates fitted and bolted in place using original size bolts
MODOT Pin Joint Plate Replacement

- Core drilling out the plug welds (1.25” dia)
- Expanding bushing in girder to resize hole for 1.00” dia bolt
Summary Conclusions

• There are many aging steel bridges in the USA
• A large number of these bridges have critical fatigue cracks
• Repairs are expensive and time consuming
  • Require continuous monitoring
• Catastrophic failure devastating in terms of lost infrastructure, commerce and possibly lives lost
• Current crack arrest hole is ineffective in mitigating crack growth
Conclusions

- **StopCrackEX** system based on proven aerospace technology
- Induces beneficial residual compressive stress around the bushed hole
- Shown by coupon test and FEA analysis to arrest growth of cracks
- Provides positive indication of implementation – visible bushing
- Will extend structural inspection cycle
- Can provide significant maintenance, preservation and repair cost savings
  - Extend inspection intervals
- Will enhance overall structural integrity and safety of bridge structure
- **Should be part of overall long term bridge preservation plan**
Questions? Thank You!

Visit our website www.ft-infrastructures.com