

Rocky Mountain West Pavement Preservation Partnership 2011 Annual Meeting

Update

Task Force Communications

October 4-6, 2011

Need Statement:

The terminology and definitions of pavement preservation treatments, distresses and treatment application vary with in DOTs (state and local), by region (local, state and nation), by organizational branch (Maintenance, Engineering, Utilities, etc). Communication amongst pavement preservation professional using common and agreed to terminology would improve efficiencies between and within organizations.

Purpose Statement:

The purpose of the RMWPPP TF on Communications is to identify, summarize and present the current conflicts that exist and the potential benefits that could be derived by having agreed to definitions for common pavement preservation distresses, treatments, and treatment applications.

Membership:

- Good Participation from RMWPPP Members and other PP Partnerships at December 2010 and January 2011 Meetings.
- Small Working Group was created for development of white paper.
- The TF lost momentum in the Spring of 2011, this was entirely due to the Chariman (Steve Olson).

TF Process and Deliverables:

Develop:

- TF Charter (Dec-Jan)
- A responsive, active and participating TF membership that includes all states in the RMWPP, industry, and local government representation.
- Listing of key State DOT individuals, that is cross cutting throughout the organizations with respect to pavement preservation (i.e. Maintenance Engineers, Preservation Engineers, Pavement Managers, Asset Managers, Materials Engineers, Specification Engineers, etc.)
- White paper identifying the conflicts in terminology, and benefits of having consistent terminology. Volunteers for Small Working Group were Karen S. with IDT, Mary Gayle with Montana DOT, and Steve Olson CDOT.
- Proposed Research for AASHTO Spring Meeting
- DRAFT of Proposed Terminology for Distresses and Treatments