




Bridge Deck Chloride Testing

National Working Group

Background

- Came up as a technical topic during the WBPP monthly conference calls.
- Several states are interested/doing chloride testing, but there seems to be a lot of variation in how it is being performed.



Scope of Work

- Develop a NBPP sponsored Guide on Bridge Deck Chloride Sampling
- Develop specifications and contract template.

Working Group: Owners

First	Last	Organization
Andrew	Blower	ODOT
Becky	Nix	Utah DOT
Chris	Long	CA FHWA
Jeff	Milton	Virigina DOT
Marcus	Berlin	ODOT
Paul	Pilarski	Minnesota DOT
Thad	Pinkerton	Utah DOT
Travis	Kinney	ODOT

Working Group: Industry

First	Last	Organization
Brian	Pailes	Vector Corrosion
		Services
Brian	Merrill	WJE
Curt	McDonald	HDR
Ed	Welch	NCPP
Eric	Shoyer	Elzly
John	Hooks	NCPP
Keith	Kruse	Coordinated Systems
		Consulting

First	Last	Organization
Michael	Brown	WSP
Nick	Fabritiis	Elzwood Technology
Pat	Martens	Bridge Pres & Inspect
Paul	Krauss	WJE
Regis	Doucette	Durable Solutions
Siva	Venugopalan	Siva Corrosion Services
Stuart	Mundith	Siva Corrosion
		Services

 Chapter 1: Overview of chloride deterioration and discussion on Preservation vs Rehabilitation






- Chapter 2: Network Level Chloride Sampling
 - Condition Based Sampling Criteria
 - Deck Condition
 - Environmental Factors
 - Maintenance and Project History
 - Importance Based Sampling
 - Daily Vehicle Operating Cost
 - Bridge Significance
 - Structural Features
 - Program Management
 - Managing the sampled data
 - Extrapolating data points to corridors.

- Chapter 3: Project Level Sampling
 - Deck Sampling
 - Methods of sampling
 - Number of Samples
 - Location to take sample
 - Chloride Testing
 - Field Testing
 - Laboratory Testing
 - Effect of Sulfate Contents
 - Other Factors that Impact Sampling











- Chapter 4: Modeling Test Results
 - How to process the data obtain from chloride testing to obtain diffusion coefficients and service life prediction.
 - Service life prediction will be kept basic. The intent is to provide the user an idea if the bridge is in need of rehabilitation or preservation.
 - Programming Future Work

- Appendix A: Example Projects
 - Several DOT's have offered sample projects where testing was conducted on bridge decks. The results and project recommendations are summarized and shared.





- Appendix B: Contracts and Specification
 - Provide example contracts and specifications to assist owners in contracting out this work.

Current Progress

- Draft Report is 95% complete.
- Working Group Review has started and should be completed by May June 15th.
- Document will be distributed to 4 Regional partnerships for review and approval (2 months)
- Publish through TSP-2.
- Coordinate with MaC for distribution through AASHTO.

Future Work

- Contract and Specification Examples
- Additional factors that affect deck deterioration could significantly expand the conversation.
- Service Life and Future project recommendations were intentionally kept short. These topics could be future reports.

Report Links

- Link to Draft Report: https://docs.google.com/document/d/172d-
 JqF_ZuKUtRPxM8oCfuc8GwvQX2p8TJrCterLig4/edit?usp=sharing
- Link to QC Checklist: <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1XZEPcYOzTXvUkgRUysViK</u> <u>W2oD4aHxLuoEfaazvHpllq/edit?usp=sharinq</u>