Protocols for Concrete Bridge Deck Protections and Treatments

Başak Aldemir Bektaş, PhD Associate Scientist Iowa State University Institute for Transportation (InTrans)



NATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018

RACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER

Outline

- About the Project
- Project Tasks
- Data

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Institute for Transportation

- Deck Life Cycle
- Analysis Approach
- Questions



NATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018

RACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER

About the Project

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Institute for Transportation

- Wisconsin Highway Research Program
- 2-year project: October 2017 September 2019
- Objective is to develop a cost-effective lifecycle treatment plan for preservation of Wisconsin bridge decks





PRACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER

Project Tasks

- identify a comprehensive list of strategies through the review of current practice and DOT policies
- provide data-driven estimates of performance of treatments and optimum timing with respect to condition and age analyzing historic bridge condition data from WisDOT and other state DOTs
- develop a lifecycle treatment plan based on the research findings and engineering economics principles.







Project Tasks

Task A: Literature Review and Assessment of Current Practices

Task B: Collection of WisDOT and other DOT Policies and Practices on Deck Protection Treatments

Task C: Examination of WisDOT and other DOT Bridge Preservation Policies

• Tasks B&C are due mid-May







Initial Literature Review

- Sealers and overlays are typically advised to be used as cyclical preservation activities (3 to 5 years, and 10 to 15 years respectively).
- Guidelines for their selection and application vary by agency.
- Common criteria used in selection of the overlay type are ADT, expected life, and current condition (or level of deterioration).
- Weather conditions and level of chloride are essential factors for deciding the sealant type.



Focus

- Crack sealers, penetrant sealers, and thin polymer overlays, rigid overlays, asphalt overlays, and polyester polymer concrete overlays
- State bridges
- Bridge Preservation Policy Guide
- Analysis of both NBI component and AASHTO element condition data







Current Policy

Table 2 Bridge Preservation Activities

Bridge Component	Bridge Preservation Type	Activity Description	Preventive Maintenance Type	Action Frequency (years)	
All	Preventive Maintenance	Sweeping, power washing, cleaning	Cyclical	1-2	
		Deck washing		1	
		Deck Sweeping		1	
		Deck Sealing/Crack Sealing	Curlical	4-5	
Deck		Thin polymer (Epoxy) overlays	Cyclical	10	
	Droughting Maintonanag	Drainage cleaning/repair		As needed	
		Joint cleaning			
	Flevenuve Maintenance	Deck Patching		1-2	
		Chloride extraction		1 -2	
		Asphalt overlay with membrane	Condition	12-15	
		Polymer modified Asphalt overlay	Based	6-12	
		Joint seal replacement		10	
		Drainage cleaning/repair		1	
	Repair or Rehab	Rigid concrete overlays		Anneadad	
		Structural Reinforced concrete overlay			
	Element	Deck joint replacement	Condition Based	As needed	
		Eliminate joints			

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY





IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Institute for Transportation

- HSIS and region databases
 - Statewide and 8 regions
 - Treatment history
 - Condition Data
 - Element
 - NBI
 - Deicer usage data
 - Automatic Vehicle Locator (AVL) database
 - seven winter seasons from 2010 to 2016
 - total amount of deicer materials by segment and season

NC - Rhinelander
NC - Wisconsin Rapids
NE - Green Bay
NW - Eau Claire
NW - Superior
SE - Waukesha
SW - La Crosse
SW - Madison (1)
SW - Madison (2)



Region	Counties Complete	Year Range	Sealant -	
			Overlay	
NE - Green Bay	Complete	2009 - 2016	S & O	
NC - Wisconsin Rapids	Incomplete	2004 - 2018	S & O	
NC – Rhinelander	Complete	2005 - 2020	S & O	
NW - Superior	Complete	NA	NA	
NW - Eau Claire	Complete	1999 - 2018	S	
SW - La Crosse	Complete	2007 - 2013	S	
SW - Madison (1)	Complete	2015 - 2017	S & O	
SW - Madison (2)	Not submitted yet	NA	NA	
SE – Waukesha	Not submitted yet	NA	NA	

+ Project history from the DOT







	Decks/Slabs
Element Number	Element
12	Reinforced Concrete Deck
13	Prestressed Concrete Deck
15	Prestressed Concrete Top Flange
<mark>1</mark> 6	Reinforced Concrete Top Flange
28	Steel Deck with Open Grid
29	Steel Deck with Concrete Filled Grid
30	Steel Deck with Corrugated/Orthotropic/Etc.
31	Timber Deck
38	Reinforced Concrete Slab
8039	Prestressed Concrete Slab
54	Timber Slab
60	Other Material Deck
65	Other Material Slab

	Wearing Surfaces		
510	Wearing Surfaces (Other)	SF	BME
8000	Wearing Surface (Bare)	SF	BME
8511	AC Overlay	SF	ADE
8512	AC Overlay & Membrane	SF	ADE
8513	Thin Polymer Overlay	SF	ADE
8514	Concrete Overlay	SF	ADE
8515	Polyester Concrete Overlay	SF	ADE





AC Overlay & Membrane 8512







🖬 5-0- % -													\times
File Home Cre	ate External Data Database Tools	Fields Table	🗘 Tell me what you want t								Aldem	r-Bektas, Basak [l	TRNS]
K Cut	Ascending S	election -	New Totals	Ab Replace	Calibri (Detail)	× 11	•	►T ~					
View Paste Format	Painter Filter	dvanced * Refres	h Save Spelling	Find Select *	BIUA	a⊻ - <u>A</u> - ≣ ≣	= 2.	*					
Views Clipboard	Sort & Filter	/1	Records	Find		Text Formatting	1	5					~
All Acc. Q. #	Deck												×
All Acc 🔍 🐃	StructureNumber •	Y1990 -)	Y1991 - Y1992	 Y1993 	• Y1994	Y1995	Y1996	Y1997	✓ ¥1998	✓ ¥1999	▼ ¥2000	• Y2001	¥ 🔺
Search 🔎	B0100010000000	11550	12552	12555	12004	12000	7	7	7	6	12000	6	
Tables *	B0100130000000		6	7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	
ADT	B0100170000000		-	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	-	7	
	B0100320000000												
Culvert	B0100330000000												
	B0100340000000												
Deck	B0100360000000		5	9	8	8	8		8	7		7	
	B0103250000000		5	9	8	8	8	7	7	7		7	
Other	B0105340000000		8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		8	
B0105350	B0105350000000		4	-	-	_	_	_	_	_		-	
StructuralCondition	B0105370000000		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	
Colectorustare	B0200010000000	4			4	6		4					
Substructure	B0200020000000	3		6	4	4	4						
Superstructure	B0200040000000	8			8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
	B0200050000000	8			8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Waterway	B0200060000000	8			8	8	8	8	7	7	7		
	B0200090000000	8			7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
Queries 🎗	B0200120000000	7			8	8	8	5	5	5		4	
deck history 180	B0200130000000	8			8	8	8	5	5	5		5	
	B0200140000000	8			8	8	8	8	7	7	6		
sub history I80	B0200150000000	7			8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
_	B0200220000000	N			N	N		8	N	N	N		
super history 180	B0200250000000	8		8		8	8	8	8	8	8		
	B0200260000000	8			8	8	8	8	8	7	7		
	B0200330000000	9			8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
	B0200430000000		6		7	7	8	8	8	8		7	
	B0200460000000					N			9	9		9	
	B0200470000000					N			8	8		9	
	B0200570000000										9		
	B0200590000000												
	B0200670000000												
	B0200700000000												
	B0204070000000	3			3	3		3		9	8		
	B0204120000000	6		7	7	7	7	7	5	4		3	
	B0204140000000	8		8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
	80304310000000	N											

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



PRACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER



File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View ACROBAT Tell m Image: Second secon	e what you want to do eral • % • • • • • • • • • • Cond Number 5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	veral v v % 9 €.0,00 Number rs Cond Forma
Paste Copy * * *	
Clipboard rs Font rs Alignment rs	Number 🕞
A1 $ f_x$ StructureNumber	
A B C D E F G H	I J
1 StructureNumber First Year Last Year Value Count NextValue Gap Warning	
2 B0100010000000 1996 1998 7 3 6 Gap(s): 0; Warning	g: False
3 B0100010000000 1999 2002 6 4 5 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
4 B0100010000000 2003 2008 5 6 4 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
5 B0100010000000 2009 2011 4 9 X Gap(s): 6; Warning	g: True
6 B0300140000000 1992 1997 8 6 7 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
7 B0300140000000 1998 1998 7 1 6 Gap(s): 0; Warning	g: False
8 803001400000000 1999 2002 6 4 5 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
9 80300140000000 2003 2010 5 8 4 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
10 B0300140000000 2011 2015 4 7 X Gap(s): 2; Warning	g: False
11 B03001500000000 1992 1997 8 6 5 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
12 B0300150000000 1998 2004 5 7 4 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
13 B0300150000000 2005 2015 4 13 X Gap(s): 2; Warning	g: False
14 B0300160000000 1992 1997 8 6 7 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
15 B0300160000000 1998 2002 7 5 6 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
16 B0300160000000 2003 2006 6 4 5 Gap(s): 1; Warning	g: False
17 B0300160000000 2007 2015 5 11 X Gap(s): 2: Warning	g: False
18 B03001700000000 1992 1994 9 3 8 Gap(s): 0: Warning	g: False
19 B0300170000000 1995 1997 8 3 7 Gap(s): 1: Warning	g: False
20 B0300170000000 1998 2015 7 20 X Gap(s): 2: Warning	g: False
21 B0300180000000 1992 1997 8 6 7 Gap(s): 1: Warning	g: False
22 B0300180000000 1998 2000 7 3 6 Gap(s): 1: Warning	g: False

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



PRACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER



Deck Life Cycle





IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



NATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018



Deck Life Cycle



Time

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



NATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018



PRACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER

Deck Life Cycle



Time

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



NATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018



RACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER

Analysis Approach

- Inclusion of external factors
- Selected bridge deck preservation strategies including common combinations of deck treatments
- Ideal timing of each bridge deck treatment or treatment combination, with respect to bridge condition or age
- Service life estimates of treatments









Analysis Approach



Analysis Approach

• A discussion on complexity



NATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018

ACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER

Questions?

Başak Aldemir Bektaş, PhD Associate Scientist Iowa State University

Institute for Transportation (InTrans)

basak@iastate.edu



ATIONAL BRIDGE PRESERVATION PARTNERSHIP CONFERENCE 2018

RACTICES WE CAN NOT AFFORD TO DEFER