Pee Dee River Historic Arch Bridge Rehabilitation and Widening Feasibility Study

John Sloan, PE



AECOM

April 2017

Henley Street Bridge Knoxville, TN – Before Construction (courtesy TDOT)





Henley Street Bridge Knoxville, TN – During Construction (courtesy TDOT)





Henley Street Bridge Knoxville, TN – After Construction (courtesy TDOT)





NCDOT Pee Dee River Bridge Widening & Rehabilitation





NCDOT Pee Dee River Bridge Widening & Rehabilitation





Predecessor Bridge – Link





Project Location between Albemarle and Troy, North Carolina



NCDOT Pee Dee River Bridge Widening & Rehabilitation Albemarle, NC





NCDOT Pee Dee River Bridge Widening & Rehabilitation Albemarle, NC





Public Hearing Map Alternate 4 Remove the Arch Bridge and Replace It with a Conventional Bridge





Public Hearing Map Alternate 1 New Bridge to the South – Arch Bridge Preserved as a Bike & Pedestrian Facility



2016 Feasibility Study

Feasibility Study Team







Feasibility Study Scope of Work

- Load rating of the existing bridge
- Load rating of the bridge with a proposed superstructure
- Above water inspection
- Underwater inspection (Infrastructure Engineers)
- Geotechnical investigation (Falcon Engineering)
- Material testing, corrosion protection, and service life analysis (Siva Corrosion Services)
- Consultation for NEPA and historic preservation
- Final feasibility study summary document

Original Arch Bridge Plans





Proposed Typical Section



Arch Rib Interaction Diagram at the Springline



Arch Rib Interaction Diagram at 1/3 Span





Inspection Results – Arch Piers



ΑΞϹΟΜ

Inspection Results – Arch Piers





Progression of Deterioration – Crack





Progression of Deterioration – Delamination



Progression of Deterioration – Spall





Underwater Inspection (photo courtesy IE)





Underwater Inspection (photo courtesy IE)





Inspection Results – Arch Ribs





Deck Expansion Joints at 1/3 of the Arch Span



Scaling at the Top of the Arch Rib near the Springline





Corrosion Protection and Service Life Analysis





Corrosion Protection and Service Life Analysis





Proposed Visualization



Conclusions

- Eliminate as many joints as possible. Maintain & replace joints regularly if they can't be eliminated.
- Document every defect with a photo and a sketch during inspection.
- Cracks parallel to a corner indicate corrosion has initiated in the longitudinal reinforcement. Crack injection is not the appropriate repair methodology.
- Concrete Jacket repair at the waterline.
- Replace piers down to the arch rib springline.
- Arch rib strengthening is not anticipated.

Conclusions

- This alternative is the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative in accordance with NEPA.
- No Adverse Effects upon the historic structure (with conditions) in accordance with Section 106 of the Historic Preservation Act.
- De Minimus impact in accordance with Department of Transportation Act of 1966.
- -75 year service life is projected.
- \$4.3 million estimated savings compared to constructing a new bridge south of the existing bridges.

