Guidelines for Pavement Preservation

Geoff Hall, P.E. Pavement & Geotechnical Division Chief, Maryland SHA



### August 29, 2012

### Agenda

- Background and Current Issues
  - Why we created the Guide
- Pavement Preservation Guide
  - Contents
  - Example Project





### **Background and Current Issues**



### Background

Pavement
Management/
Project Selection/
System Preservation
assessment in 2007

Pavement Preservation Technical Appraisal



Maryland State Highway Administration May 2007 Baltimore, Maryland

Several useful recommendations
 provided

### Background

Pavement Preservation Technical Appraisal



Continue to move toward a more proactive philosophy, avoiding reactive approaches, particularly "worst first".

Maryland State Highway Administration May 2007 Baltimore, Maryland

## **Target: Balanced selection of roads in all condition states**

### Background

 Currently, each district has own ideas as to what constitutes appropriate treatment. Pavement Preservation Technical Appraisal

NCPP

Maryland State Highway Administration May 2007 Baltimore, Maryland

• Develop guidelines for use on statewide basis

> Guidelines should have expected life extensions of treatments

### **Pavement Preservation Guide - Contents**



### **Preservation Guide**

### **Three Main Sections:**

1. Treatment Tables & Matrices

2. Definitions of Treatments

3. Supplemental Treatment Information

### **Treatment Tables**

Type of Activity	Specific Fixes (Including but not limited to)						
Major (Heavy) Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>Cold In-Place HMA Recycling (CIR)</li> <li>Break &amp; Seat and Overlay</li> <li>Crack &amp; Seat and Overlay</li> <li>Deep Grind and Thick Overlay</li> <li>Rubblization &amp; Overlay</li> </ul>						
Structural Overlay	<ul> <li>Overlay or grind/overlay combination where grade increases more than 1.5"</li> <li>Greater than 5% of project area has fatigue distresses needing patching</li> <li>Any concrete overlay</li> </ul>						
Minor (Light) Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>Grade increase due to overlay or mill/overlay thickness is no more than 1.5", and the project receives less than 5% patching for structural distress.</li> </ul>						
Preventive Maintenance	Asphalt-surfaced Pavements	<ul> <li>Cape seal</li> <li>Chip seal</li> <li>Crack filling</li> <li>Crack seal</li> <li>Diamond grinding</li> <li>Fog seal</li> <li>High-friction surface</li> <li>Hot In-Place Recycling (HIR)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Modified chip seal</li> <li>Patching</li> <li>Rejuvenators</li> <li>Sand seal</li> <li>Sandwich seal</li> <li>Scrub seal</li> <li>Slurry seal</li> <li>Thin and ultrathin hot-mix</li> </ul>				

----

9

### **Treatment Tables**

Treatment Group	Treatment Number	Treatment
	A-1	Crack Filling
A. Crack/Joint	A-2	Crack Sealing
Seals	A-3	Joint Sealing (and Resealing)
	A-4	Saw and Seal
B. Asphalt	B-1	Asphalt Sealers
Rejuvenators	B-2	Fog Seals / Rejuvenators
	C-1	Cape Seal
	C-2	Chip Seal (Modified)
	C-3	High Friction Surface
C. Aggregate	C-4	Sand Seal
Seals	C-5	Sandwich Seal
Γ	C-6	Scrub Seal
[	C-7	Slurry Seal
	C-8	Microsurfacing
D Illtrathin UMA	D1	Illtrathin Rondod Moaring Course (A

10

### **Treatment Decision Tree**



Figure 1 Decision Tree for Flexible Pavements

### **Treatment Matrix**

Table IV							Pavem ADT	
CI	Friction	Cracking	Rutting (in.)	A. Crack/Joint Seals	B. Asphalt Sealers / Rejuvenators	C. Aggregate Seals	D. Ultrathin HMA	E. Overlay
		Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
>40	>40		Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
	240	Non Load-Related	L	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7		E-5
			М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
			Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
70-100			L	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7	D-1	E-5
<		Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15
	~ 10		Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15
	540		L	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7	D-1	E-5
		Non Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15
			Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15

Note: See Table E for Treatment Activities

### **Treatment Definitions**

### **Appendix A: Definitions**

and to prevent the intrusion of incompressibles into materials from bitumen to silicone to neoprene is used Neoprene is rarely if ever used on resealing projects.

#### A-4: Saw and Seal

A method of controlling reflective cracking in HMA over joints in the new overlay exactly over the joints in the exi

### B. Asphalt Sealers / Rejuvenators:

#### **B-1: Asphalt Sealers**

Very light applications of a diluted asphalt emulsion ( water) placed directly on the pavement surface wit application rates range from 0.05 to 0.1 gal per SY.

#### B-2: Fog Seals / Rejuvenators

Specialized emulsions of maltenes (2 parts maltene

SUPPL

T II D O		OUTLE
Table B.3	C-4.Sand Seal	C-5.Sand
<b>Treatment</b> <b>Advantages</b>	<ol> <li>Prevents/delays oxidation of the pavement surface.</li> <li>Seals the pavement surface (including temporary sealing low severity fatigue cracking)</li> <li>Successful on both low- and high- volume roadways.</li> <li>Corrects poor friction.</li> <li>Slows/reduces severity of moisture damage, cracking, raveling and possibly roughness and rutting.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Unlike the doul one application of required.</li> <li>Same service li chip seal</li> <li>Provides a smo than chip seal</li> </ol>

		SUPPL
Table B.3	C-4.Sand Seal	C-5.Sand
<b>Treatment</b> <b>Disadvantages</b>	<ol> <li>Negatively affects stripping.</li> <li>Limited to lower volume traffic conditions with a low percentage of trucks, and roadway grades flatter than 8%.</li> <li>Should be constructed when surface is dry and the temperature is at least 50 deg F</li> <li>To ensure good bond, existing pavement must be clean and dry.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Clean aggregat</li> <li>Aggregate chip windshields.</li> <li>Must be placed sound pavements</li> </ol>

SU	PP	LE
----	----	----

	Table B.3			001122
			C-4.Sand Seal	C-5.Sandw
	uo	Small Quantity Cost	\$0.33 to \$0.66/SY	
	ificati	Medium Cuantity Cost	\$0.33 to \$0.66/SY	\$1 - \$2
	: Clari	High Quantity Cost	\$0.33 to \$0.66/SY	
	Cost	Items Included	See Description	See Defi
	J	Items Excluded	Marking Removal	Marking R

SUPPLEN

C-5.Sandw				
5-7 ує				
Cure time generally 4 hours before swee loose aggregate				
Ci 4 Io				

### **Using the Guide –** What fixes are most appropriate?

### **Two Steps:**

- 1. Using <u>network</u>-level data, go through appropriate matrix to identify viable treatments
- 2. Then use project-level data, treatment advantages/disadvantages, cost/benefit to select final treatment choice

### Pavement Preservation Guide – Example Project



### Historically...

### •Very few options in toolbox of fixes

## •Even fewer that are non-HMA-overlay "pavement preservation"



### **Example Project – Historically**

02

MD 170

110

3.092

2009-12-1

### Flexible Pavement 15 years old ADT = 22,000 IRI < 100 Crack Index > 75 Friction < 35

### What is a good fix?

### How we used to do it – What fixes are available?

Circa 2007...
Option 1:
Option 2:
Option 3:

Thin HMA OL Thick HMA OL Wait until next year

# How we are supposed to do it now –



### Earlier example...Step #1 **Flexible Pavement**

MD 170

110

3.092

2009-12-1

### 15 years old ADT = 22,000|R| < 100Crack Index > 75 Friction < 35

### What is a good fix?

PRESERVATION CONFERENCE



Table IV

Pavem ADT

СІ	Friction	Cracking	Rutting (in.)	A. Crack/Joint Seals	B. Asphalt Sealers / Rejuvenators	C. Aggregate Seals	D. Ultrathin HMA	E. Overlay
		Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
	>40		Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
	-40	Neg	L	A-1, A-2	B-1, <mark>B-</mark> 2	C-3, C-7		E-5
	Load	Non Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
76-100			Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8		E-5, E-8, E-15
70-100			L	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7	D-1	E-5
		Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15
(	< 40		Н	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15
	340		L	A-1, A-2	B-1 B-2	0.3, 0.7	D-1	E-5
		Non Load-Related	М	A-1, A-2	B-1, B-2	C-3, C-7, C-8	D-1	E-5, E-8, E-15
			Н	A-1, A-2	84,82	0.3, 0.7, 0.8	D 1	E-5, E-8, E-15

Note: See Table E for Treatment Activities



Table IV				ent Type: Fl : 4.001 - 25,0 IRI: 0 - 100	exible )00				
СІ	Friction	Cracking	Rutting (in.)	F. Patch	G. Joint Treatments	H. Grinding/ Grooving	I. Major (Heavy) Rehabilitation	J. Reconstruction	Do Nothing
			L	F-1, F-2					Х
		Load-Related 0 Non Load-Related	М	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2			
	>40		Н	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2			
	240		L	F-1, F-2					Х
			М	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2			
76,100			Н	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2			
70-100			L	F-1, F-2		H-3			Х
		Load-Related	М	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2, H-3			
	~ 10		Н	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2, H-3			
	\$ 40			F 4, F 2		110			Х
		N0N based Related	М	F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2, H-3			
				F-1, F-2		H-1, H-2, H-3			

Note: See Table E for Treatment Activ



### **Treatment Tables**

Treatment Group	Treatment Number	Treatment
	A-1	Crack Filling
A. Crack/Joint	A-2	Crack Sealing
Seals	A-3	Joint Sealing (and Resealing
	A-4	Saw and Seal
B. Asphalt	B-1	Asphalt Sealers
Rejuvenators	B-2	Fog Seals / Rejuvenators
	C-1	Cape Seal
	C-2	Chip Seal (Modified)
	C-3	High Friction Surface
C. Aggregate	C-4	Sand Seal
Seals	C-5	Sandwich Seal
Γ	C-6	Scrub Seal
Γ	C-7	Slurry Seal
Γ	C-8	Microsurfacing
		Illtrathin Bonded Mearing Course (
2012 NATIONAL PAVE	MENT PRESERVATION CONFE	PENCE POAD TRIP. DRIVING THE MESSAGE FOR CHAN

- Viable Treatments:
- Crack Fill/Seal
- Asphalt Sealer
- Fog Seal/
   Rejuvenator
- •High Friction Surf.
- Slurry Seal
- Micro-surface

- •Ultrathin Bonded Wearing Course
- Hot-in-place
   recycling
- HMA Overlay
- Bonded PCC
   Overlay
- Patch only
- •Grind only

**12** viable treatments were identified.

How do we identify which one should be the final choice???

# Investigate project-level details:

- •Project-level conditions,
- •Geometrics,
- •MOT restrictions,
- Contract/contractor considerations,
- •Etc.

### Consider treatments:

- Advantages and disadvantages,
- •Time until open to traffic,
- Expected cost,
- Expected life extension

>These items available in Appendices A & B.

### Viable Treatments:

- Crack Fill/Seal
- Asphalt Sealer
- •Fog Seal/ Rejuvenator
- •High Friction Surf.
- Slurry Seal
- Micro-surface

### **Consider Further?**

- No Doesn't help friction
- No Doesn't help friction
- No Doesn't help friction
- No for spot locations Yes Yes

Viable Treatments: Consider Further?

- Ultrathin Bonded
   Wearing Course
- •Hot-in-place Yes recycling
- •HMA Overlay

Yes

Yes

Viable Treatments: Consider Further?

- Bonded PCC
   Overlay
- Patch only
- Grind only

- No Rutting not bad enough
- No Doesn't help friction
- No For short areas only

### **Right Fix: Step #3** Now down to <u>5</u> Viable Treatments: •Ultra

- Crack Fill/Seal
- Asphalt Sealer
- Fog Seal/
- Rejuvenator
- High Friction Surf.
- Slurry Seal
- •Micro-surface

- •Ultrathin Bonded Wearing Course
- •Hot-in-place
- recycline for
- •HMBenefitaCost
- -Boaded PGfs! Overlay
- Patch only
- •Grind only

### **Right Fix: Cost**

Treatments:	Cost (\$/LM):
Slurry Seal	\$14k ✓
Micro-surface	\$20k
Ultrathin Bonded Wearing Course	\$80k
Hot-in-place recycling	\$30k
1.5" HMA Overlay	\$50k

### **Right Fix: Benefit**

Treatments:	Benefit:
Slurry Seal	4 Years
Micro-surface	8 Years
Ultrathin Bonded Wearing Course	10 Years
Hot-in-place recycling	8 Years
1.5" HMA Overlay	12 Years ✓

### **Right Fix: Benefit/Cost**

Treatments:	Benefit/Cost (\$/LMY):
Slurry Seal	\$3.5k
Micro-surface	\$2.5k ✓
Ultrathin Bonded Wearing Course	\$8k
Hot-in-place recycling	\$3.75k
1.5" HMA Overlay	\$4.2k

### Final Decision:

 Made by District, with input/support from Pavement Engineer.

 Pavement Engineer determines what treatment life will be.

### Summary

There are **several** fixes (besides HMA overlay) that can work.

### This Guide provide the tools to find the **Right Fix** for the **Right Road** at the **Right Time**

### **Questions?**

Geoff Hall, P.E.

Chief, Pavement & Geotechnical Division Maryland State Highway Administration 443-572-5067 ghall1@sha.state.md.us

7450 Traffic Drive, Hanover, MD 21076