Project Prioritization Using Multi-Objective Utility Functions

Michael B. Johnson California Department of Transportation

National Bridge Management, Inspection and Preservation Conference November 2011

Bridge Preservation Needs



Least Cost Optimization



Least Cost Optimization Results



BMS Modeling Using Utility Functions

- A utility is a 0 to 1 unit less measure that can quantify action or project benefits.
- Dissimilar benefits can be combined using utility functions.
- Value Functions are user defined and can include.
 - Condition, load capacity, risks, functional needs, etc.
- The total utility of a project is equal to the weighted sum of the component utilities (value functions).

Total Utility = $W_1(U_1) + W_2(U_2) + W_3(U_3)...$

Sample Utility Function Value Curve





Example Calculation

| Bridge ID | Health (BHI) | Scour 113 | Load Rate | Bridge Area |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Bridge A | 80 | 7 | 15 tons | 1000 sq m |
| Bridge B | 80 | 3 | 40 tons | 2000 sq m |
| Bridge C | 50 | 5 | 40 tons | 3000 sq m |

Bridge Health Index Utility Curve

Health Index Utility Curve



Example Calculation - Condition Component

| Bridge ID | Health (BHI) | U _{BHI} |
|-----------|--------------|------------------|
| Bridge A | 80 | 0.50 |
| Bridge B | 80 | 0.50 |
| Bridge C | 50 | 0.075 |

NBI Scour Utility Curve

NBI Scour Utility Curve



Example Calculation – Scour Component

| Bridge ID | Scour 113 | U ₁₁₃ |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| Bridge A | 7 | 1.0 |
| Bridge B | 3 | 0.5 |
| Bridge C | 5 | 0.95 |

Load Capacity Utility Curve

Load Rating Utility Curve



Example Calculation – Load Component

| Bridge ID | Load Rate | U _{LR} |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Bridge A | 15 tons | 0.5 |
| Bridge B | 40 tons | 0.95 |
| Bridge C | 40 tons | 0.95 |

Example Calculation

| Bridge | BHI | U _{BHI} | Scour | U ₁₁₃ | Load | U _{LR} | W_{BHI} | W _{SC} | W _{LR} |
|----------|-----|------------------|-------|------------------|------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Bridge A | 80 | 0.50 | 7 | 1.0 | 15 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.20 |
| Bridge B | 80 | 0.50 | 3 | 0.50 | 40 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.20 |
| Bridge C | 50 | 0.075 | 5 | 0.95 | 40 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.20 |

Bridge A $U_T = (1-0.50)*0.5+(1-1)*0.3+(1-0.5)*0.2=0.35$

Bridge B $U_T = (1-0.50)*0.5+(1-0.5)*0.3+(1-0.95)*0.2=0.41$

Bridge C $U_T = (1-0.075)*0.5+(1-0.95)*0.3+(1-0.95)*0.2=0.95$

Project Size and Cost Introduced

| Bridge ID | Total Utility | Project \$ | Bridge Area | \$/Sq M |
|-----------|---------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Bridge A | .35 | 1.2 mil | 1000 sq m | 0.0012 |
| Bridge B | .41 | 2.5 mil | 2000 sq m | 0.0013 |
| Bridge C | .95 | 9.0 mil | 3000 sq m | 0.003 |

Bridge A Project Priority = 0.35/0.0012 = 291Bridge B Project Priority = 0.41/0.0013 = 320Bridge C Project Priority = 0.95/.003 = 316

Summary

- Utilities can combine all project level attributes, including risks, into a single value that can be used to prioritize projects.
- Caltrans showed a strong correlation to the engineering judgment process currently used.
- The multi-objective optimization techniques are easy to understand and computations are fairly simple.
- The multi-objective utility techniques are being incorporated into Pontis and are well suited for asset management applications too.