# PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS

Of FOG SEALS And REJUVENATORS

# **Fog Seal Applications**



## Dense-Graded HMAC







## Chip Seals



Various studies and reports conducted over the past 30+ years have confirmed the effectiveness of fog seal / fog rejuvenators and seal coats in extending pavement life.



Gayle N. King Principal Investigator



Spray Applied Polymer Surface Seals



TECHNIQUES FOR REHABILITATING PAVEMENTS WITHOUT OVERLAYS -A systems analysis

Vol. 1. Analysis



September 1977 Final Report

Desument is analistic to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Assemptions, Virginia 22181

Propared for FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION Diffices of Research & Development Washington, D. C. 20590 Asphalt Rejuvenators "Fact, or Fable"

> Robert E. Boyer, Ph.D., P.E. Senior District Engineer Asphalt Institute



2639-B Lisenby Avenue

Panamu City, FL 32405 PH: 850-763-3363 FAX: 850-763-253

Prepared for Presentation at the

Transportation Systems 2000 (TS2K) Workshop San Antonio, Texas February 28 – March 3, 2000

#### PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE OF ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENTS

NCAT Report 88-01

By E. Ray Brown

January 1988

Presented at the 1985 Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Record





#### VALUE ENGINEERING

SUBJECT: Report on Reclamite Usage, Naval Weapons Center China Lake, Calif.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY WESTERN DIVISION NAVAL TRELITIES ENERGISHER COMMUNE SAN BRUNG, CALIFORNIA \$4366 The most current and arguably the most comprehensive study was completed within the last two years

This study compared several types of fog seals with respect to their performance over time

A large portion of the performance data presented here is attributed to this Sealer/Rejuvenator Study

#### FHWA/FP2 Sealer/Rejuvenator Study

Gayle N. King Principal Investigator



#### How do we develop specifications for fog seal emulsions 1. Define performance criteria 2. Develop tests for performance On-the-road performance criteria Emulsion requirements Determine emulsion performance-related criteria Determine residue performance-related criteria Establish residue recovery method 3. Write specifications with defined limits Differentiate needs for: HMAC, OGFC, Chip Seal

## Fog Seal for Dense HMAC



- Objectives:
  - Penetrate into & renew aged asphalt binder
  - Seal small cracks and surface voids
  - Prevent raveling
  - Skid number maintained
- Emulsion grades:
  - Aromatic/Naphthenic rejuvenator oils: (ETR-1; ARA-1; Reclamite<sup>®</sup>)
  - AC/rejuvenator oils: (Cyclogen<sup>®</sup>)
  - PMAC/rejuvenator oils: (Pass<sup>®</sup>)

## **Rejuvenator Fog Seals**



## **Dense HMAC Pavements**



## ASPHALT PAVEMENT





and

## **Penetrate Into the Pavement**

Maltenes must penetrate into the pavement









## **Asphalt Binder Evaluation**

#### Viscosity Test

#### Penetration Number Test

### When viscosity goes up

Asphalt Cement Hardness Increases and Pavement Flexibility goes down

### Penetration numbers go down

## Asphalt Cement Hardness goes up

#### Rheology



Tested by Western Research Institute Dynamic Shear Rheometry on Liquid Samples Extracted from Field Cores (DSR)

#### Test Results on Recovered Binder City of Nashville, TN – Centennial Blvd.

| Core Sample                | Viscosity@6<br>0°C, Poises | Phase<br>Angle,<br>° | MODULUS, Pa |         |         |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
|                            |                            |                      | Complex     | Elastic | Viscous |
|                            |                            |                      |             |         |         |
| Core # 1: Treated Core A   | 4824                       | 85.4                 | 4836        | 387     | 4821    |
| Core # 2: Untreated Core A | 9086                       | 83.2                 | 9110        | 1076    | 9046    |

#### Evaluation of Seal Coat Runway 16–34 Lajes Field, Azores

by J. E. Pickett

Geotechnical Laboratory U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station P.O. Box 631 Vicksburg, Mississipi

March 1983

11 Layout of sampled areas Lajes Rejuvenation Project, AZ 820019



| <br><b>B</b> -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 | - |  |
|---|---|--|
| <br>c.,   | ~ |  |
| <br>_   | _ |  |

Lajes Rejuvenator, 1983

| Sample<br>Nymber | Station From<br>South End<br>R/W & C | Penetration<br>77°F (25°C)<br>100 g, 5 sec<br>0.1 mm |          | Absolut <u>e Viscosity</u><br>140°F (60°C) 300.0 mm<br>Hq Vacuum, Poises |          |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------|--|----------|
|                  |                                      | Untreated  | Treated  | Untreated  | Treated  |
| 1                | 2+43, 83.7 ft W                      | 11.00  | 20.00    | 401, 351   | 65, 420  |
| 2                | 23 + 55, 134.9 ft W                  | 11.00  | 23.00    | 449, 520   | 62,011   |
| 3                | 34 + 34, 5.1 ft E                    | 13.00  | 31.00    | 242, 293   | 32, 860  |
| 4                | 52+07, 51.3 ft W                     | 9.00   | 27.00    | 1,852,362  | 43, 497  |
| 5                | 64 + 36, 32.4 ft E                   | 4.00   | 17.00    | 2, 774, 367  | 177, 941 |
| 6                | 80+67, 14.6 ft W                     | 9.00   | 22.00    | 863, 971   | 62, 736  |
| 7                | 86 + 86, 121.4 ft E                  | 6.00   | 34.00    | 1, 263, 880  | 23, 444  |
| 8                | 99 + 17, 17 ft E                     | 6.00   | 29.00    | 1, 318, 687  | 41, 392  |
| Average          |                                      | 8.63   | 25.38    | 1, 145, 804  | 63, 663  |
| Change (%)       |                                      | Penetration  | 194.00   | Viscosity  | 94.40    |
|                  |                                      |  | Increase | *  | Decrease |
|                  |                                      |  |          |  |          |

Runway 16-34 was satisfactory. The penetration test shows an increase of approximately 194 percent and the viscosity test shows a decrease of approximately 94 percent. The specification requires the average penetration to be increased by 20 percent and the average

viscosity to be decreased by 40 percent.

4. The contractor for the project was Mr. Colin M. Durante, Pavement Technology, Inc., 11260 Berett Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44102. He elected to use Reclamite, a proprietary material manufactured by the Golden Bear Division of Witco Chemical Corporation, Bakersfield, California, as a rejuvenator. Reclamite is a resin-based emulsion that leaves an oily residue and is applied with a bituminous distributor. The Reclamite material was mixed at the job site in a two to one ratio with water, two parts Reclamite to one part water. The Reclamite mixture at ambient temperature (60-70 °F) was sprayed onto the runway pavement by using a 1140 gal bituminous distributor equipped with a 10-ft spray bar. Application rates were varied intentionally to avoid excess rejuvenator in areas.

such as recently patched areas, and areas with rubber build-up. Areas outside regular traffic were sprayed heavier, which would not bother air traffic, in case of excess rejuvenator on the surface. Dates of treatment and application rates (gal/yd<sup>2</sup>) are shown in Table II. The remainder of the material was used to spray various taxiways and parking aprons.

| r | a | Ы | le | 11 |  |
|---|---|---|----|----|--|
|   |   |   |    |    |  |

| Phase I                           |                 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Center 100-ft-wide area           | 0.053 gal/sq yd |
| All other areas                   | 0.061 gal/sq yd |
| Phase II                          |                 |
| From center line runway out 50 ft | 0.055 gal/sq yd |
| All other areas                   | 0.066 gal/sq yd |

#### Phase III

| From center line runway out 50 ft | 0.058 | gal/sq | yd |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|----|
| All other areas                   | 0.074 | gal/sq | yd |

Jack E. Pickett Materials Engineering Technician Pavement Systems Division Geotechnical Laboratory

#### Permeability



#### **Friction**



In 2002 the City of Oak Ridge had Reclamite applied to a 2 year old shoulder on SR62. The results again are very clear.



## **Asphalt Emulsion Fog Seals**



## **Dense HMAC Pavements**



#### **Require sufficient voids**



#### Asphalt Emulsion Fog Seal



Before

After

#### **Friction**



#### Permeability



#### Rheology



Tested by Western Research Institute Dynamic Shear Rheometry on Liquid Samples Extracted from Field Cores (DSR)

#### **Impact on skid numbers?**



They also fill in the voids with new material

Topical fog seals can leave a thick coating on the aggregate surface



## **Emulsion Type may effect performance**





## Fog Seals for HMAC Define

## Performance?

- Properties of restored HMAC
  - Resistant to rutting, fatigue, thermal cracking
  - Does not ravel
  - Small surface cracks are filled and heal
  - Permeability reduced
  - Age harden binder improved
  - Quick release to traffic
  - Acceptable skid numbers after application
    Emulsion penetrates into HMAC
- Provides a Cost/Benefit

## Fog Seal for OGFC

Objective:



- Restore aged asphalt binder properties to reduce raveling and cracking
- Maintain open gradation
- Emulsion grades:
  - Maltene based rejuvenator
  - PMA/rejuvenator oil blends ( diluted )

## **Rejuvenator Fog Seals**

# on OGFC Pavements









#### Rheology



Tested by Western Research Institute Dynamic Shear Rheometry on Liquid Samples Extracted from Field Cores (DSR)

# Table ICore SamplesPanama City, Bay County, FloridaMicro viscosity Test Data

| Malaga Road<br>Standard Asphalt,<br>Close-Graded Mix | Micro visc             | osity, 25°C, MP         | Equivalent      |  |  |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Core #6<br>(Treated @ 1:1, 0.07gsy)                  | 0.05 sec <sup>-1</sup> | 0.001 sec <sup>-1</sup> | Penetratio<br>n |  |  |
| Top ¼-inch   |                        |                         |                 |  |  |
| Treated  | 40.2                   | 268                     | 16              |  |  |
| Untreated  | 53.8                   | 275                     | 14              |  |  |
| Second ¼-inch  |                        |                         |                 |  |  |
| Treated  | 42.0                   | 355                     | 16              |  |  |
| Untreated  | 47.0                   | 540                     | 15              |  |  |

# Table ICore SamplesPanama City, Bay County, FloridaMicro viscosity Test Data

| Beach Street<br>Open-Graded, Large  | Micro viscos           | sity, 25°C, MP          | Equivalent  |  |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| Aggregate, Cold Placed<br>Core #8<br>(Treated @ 1:1, 0.15gsy)<br>Top <sup>1</sup> /2-inch | 0.05 sec <sup>-1</sup> | 0.001 sec <sup>-1</sup> | Penetration |  |
| Treated   | 24.5                   | 120                     | 20          |  |
| Untreated   | Too Hai                | rd to Record            | <5          |  |
| 1-inch to 1 <sup>1</sup> /2-inch  |                        |                         |             |  |
| Treated   | 27.5                   | 86.0                    | 19          |  |
| Untreated   | 250                    | 790                     | 7           |  |

#### **Friction**



## PMA / Rejuvenator oil blend Fog Seals

on

## **OGFC** Pavements



Fog Seals for OGFC Define **Performance?** Properties of renewed OGFC Resistance to raveling Sensitivity to cracking Binder rheology improved to resist aging/moisture Permeability

## Fog Seal over Chip Seal

 Objective: Tie down loose aggregate & suppress dust
 Emulsion Grades:
 SS/CSS

- CRS/RS/HFRS
- Polymer-modified emulsions







#### Avoid over application



## Fog Seals over Chip Seal Define Performance?

Chip retention

- Broken Windshields
- Long-term aggregate loss
- Minor crack sealing
- Dust/particulate emissions

**Outcome of Research -Knowledge & Specifications** Define fog seal applications What materials add life-cycle value What tests define performance How important is product consistency Impact on traffic & safety (skids, cure) Specifications (HMAC, OGFC, Chip Seal) Performance tests & failure criteria Emulsion/residue specs

## New uses for the fog seal concept

 Stabilizing the area around the longitudinal joint in new construction

 Working in tandem with surface retexturing on high volume pavements

## Polymer Maltene Emulsion Being Applied To The Longitudinal Joints

Performance After 3 years - -----

at the

-

Applied to rumble strips On centerline

there we

12 304 307 GTE

I THE REAL PROPERTY IS

M. F. W.T.L. B.

**Centerline area** 

man attest of the state

Atvebachin Trees.

Anator and a second second

VE VER LALES MARK

an Martin State Bart

中心 語言外 引出部

To Market Market

The Market

A STREET STREET





GRAPHIC PACKAGIN

Any agency can implement the use of rejuvenators and/or fog seals by simply deferring a small amount of resurfacing.





## **QUESTIONS ?**